

KNN Imputation

Christian Werner

(Quantitative geneticist and biostatistician) EiB, CIMMYT, Texcoco (Mexico)

Filippo Biscarini



(Biostatistician, bioinformatician and quantitative geneticist) CNR-IBBA, Milan (Italy)

Oscar González-Recio

ScarGenomics

(Computational biologist and quantitative geneticist) INIA-UPM, Madrid (Spain)



One-minute k-nearest neighbors (KNN)!



- 1. We collect data on temperature, humidity and rain, for a number of days
- 2. New day: will it rain?



k-nearest neighbors (KNN): a bit of math



KNN ALGORITHM

- 1. the values for humidity and temperature define the new datapoint in input
- 2. measure the Euclidean distance (Pythagoras theorem inside!) with all other points
- 3. find the closest point (neighbor) to our new point
- 4. assign to the new point the label (colour) of this nearest neighbor (k=1)



One-minute k-nearest neighbors (KNN)!

If it looks like a duck, swims like a duck, and quacks like a duck, then it probably is a duck.

$Pr(Y = j | X = x_0)$



KNN: more than 3-D

- We saw a simple example with few datapoints and only 2 dimensions
- When we have (typically) many dimensions (e.g. many SNPs) and many datapoints (e.g. many samples) we need the machine to do it! (and we can no longer visualize it)



 K-neighborhood → majority!

Physica Courses

KNN: majority?



K-neighborhood \rightarrow majority!

-

- average
- weighted majority
- weighted avg
- etc.



KNN: Euclidean distance?





- similarity⇔dissimilarity
- [0, +∞]

_

-

many possible distance metrics (Hamming, Chebyshev, Jaccard etc.) → see <u>here</u>



KNN: a few things to tweak

KNN is said to be non-parametric, still:

- size of neighborhood (K)
- type of distance
- type of assignment metric (majority, average, weighted metrics, etc.)



The curse of dimensionality

- KNN assumes that **similar** (close) points share similar labels/target
- .
- unfortunately, in high dimensional spaces points tend to never be close together
- increasing the number of dimensions (parameters) of the problem increases and complicates the identification of k neighbors which are close enough to the data point to be classified/predicted



From: https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4780/2018fa/lectures/lecturenote02_kNN.html



The curse of dimensionality

$$l = \left(rac{k}{n}
ight)^{1/d}$$

- I: side of the hypercube that include the k neighbours
- n: sample size
- d: n. of dimensions
- with n constant (data size), the hypercube in which the k neighbors lie gets bigger as d increases





From: https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4780/2018fa/lectures/lecturenote02_kNN.html



The curse of dimensionality



distributions of all pairwise distances in the neighbourhood between randomly drawn points within d-dimensional unit hypercubes: as the number of dimensions *d* grows, all distances concentrate within a very small range ("*the night where all cows are black*")



From: https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4780/2018fa/lectures/lecturenote02 kNN.html



KNN: as lazy as it gets

- KNN is a lazy algorithm: each time new datapoints are added (e.g. to be predicted) pairwise distances with all existing datapoints (over all dimensions) must be calculated
 calculations are slow
- However:
 - when new data are available, there's no need to retrain the model (no parameters to estimate or fine-tune) \rightarrow excellent for applications where data are added incrementally
 - (e.g. on-line learning, update predictions)



What about imputation?

- Ok, we learnt about KNN, but imputation?
- The **imputation of missing SNP genotypes** is a type of prediction (slightly "*sui generis*"), where non-missing values can be considered as training observations and missing values as the test observations
- KNNI is a more advanced imputation method compared to mean/median imputation
- Yet, more specialised method for genomic data have been developed



Why KNN imputation?

Mol Breeding (2016)36:69 DOI 10.1007/s11032-016-0490-y





Marker imputation efficiency for genotyping-by-sequencing data in rice (*Oryza sativa*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*)

Nelson Nazzicari · Filippo Biscarini · Paolo Cozzi · E. Charles Brummer · Paolo Annicchiarico



Why KNN imputation?



- <u>GBS data</u>: > 50% missing data
- <u>rice</u>, *Oryza sativa*: ordered markers (known reference genome)
- <u>alfalfa</u>, *Medicago sativa* (lodi & reforma datasets): unordered markers (no reference genome available at the time)

KNN imputation - rice

- x-axis: % injected missing [1%-20%]
- panels: % allowed missing [10%-70%]
- total accuracy, AA, BB
- MNI (salmon), KNNI (red), SVDI (blue), RFI
- (green), Beagle with ordered markers (solid black), Beagle with unordered markers (dashed black), FILLIN with ordered markers (purple)
 FILLIN with unordered markers (dashed purple)





Minor homozygous accura



KNN imputation - alfalfa

- x-axis: % injected missing [1%-20%]
- panels: % allowed missing [10%-70%]
- total accuracy, AA, AB, BB
- MNI (salmon), KNNI (red), SVDI (blue), RFI
- (green), Beagle with ordered markers (solid black), Beagle with unordered markers (dashed black), FILLIN with ordered markers (purple)
 FILLIN with unordered markers (dashed purple)





Not only genotypes



J. Dairy Sci. 105:5124–5140 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-20158

© 2022, The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. and Fass Inc. on behalf of the American Dairy Science Association[®]. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Integrating heterogeneous across-country data for proxy-based random forest prediction of enteric methane in dairy cattle

Enyew Negussie,¹*[©] Oscar González-Recio,²[©] Mara Battagin,³[©] Ali-Reza Bayat,⁴[©] Tommy Boland,⁵[©] Yvette de Haas,⁶[©] Aser Garcia-Rodriguez,⁷[©] Philip C. Garnsworthy,⁸[©] Nicolas Gengler,⁹[©] Michael Kreuzer,¹⁰[©] Björn Kuhla,¹¹[©] Jan Lassen,¹²[©] Nico Peiren,¹³[©] Marcin Pszczola,¹⁴[©] Angela Schwarm,¹⁵[©] Hélène Soyeurt,⁹[©] Amélie Vanlierde,¹⁶[©] Tianhai Yan,¹⁷[©] and Filippo Biscarini¹⁸[©]



NEXT LECTURE

Genotype imputation with KNN: a demonstration (R code)